

**From:** [James McKenna](#)  
**To:** ['MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us'](#)  
**Cc:** ['PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us'](#); ['ANDERSON.Jim@deq.state.or.us'](#); ['rjw@nwnatural.com'](#); ['pdost@pearllegalgroup.com'](#); ['jworonets@anchorenv.com'](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Portland Harbor ERA  
**Date:** 07/11/2011 03:25 PM

---

Thank you Matt. Please let the DEQ team know that they are welcome to contact me or John Toll directly if they have any questions regarding the revised draft BERA. Jim.

---

**From:** MCCLINCY Matt <MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>  
**To:** James McKenna  
**Cc:** PETERSON Jenn L <PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>; ANDERSON Jim M <ANDERSON.Jim@deq.state.or.us>; Wyatt, Robert <rjw@nwnatural.com>; Patty Dost <pdost@pearllegalgroup.com>; Jennifer Woronets <jworonets@anchorenv.com>; 'Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov' <Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov>; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Mon Jul 11 17:10:27 2011  
**Subject:** RE: Portland Harbor ERA

Jim,

Thanks for your consideration. After discussing this more with the government team, DEQ is withdrawing its request for the LWG to provide the review copy.

Regards,  
Matt McClincy

---

**From:** James McKenna [<mailto:jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2011 11:55 AM  
**To:** MCCLINCY Matt  
**Cc:** PETERSON Jenn L; ANDERSON Jim M; Wyatt, Robert; Patty Dost; Jennifer Woronets  
**Subject:** RE: Portland Harbor ERA

Thanks Matt, we will discuss this request with the LWG Executive Committee this Wednesday.

As a reminder, I am attaching the LWG's letter to EPA, dated March 18, 2011, which details the LWG/EPA agreement for moving forward with the various deliverables, meetings, and check-ins leading up to the draft FS. Please see footnote #1 on page 2 that outlines the deliverable format (i.e., electronic only). We worked hard with EPA and its partners to come up with this approach, and all felt it would provide the most expedient path for the Agency to review the revised documents. Again, at this stage we are only seeking feedback that the LWG adequately addressed Agency comments from last year (including those from their partners). Our intent was to have reviewers cross-reference the electronic redlined version of the revised BERA with the comment-by-comment response table.

If Jennifer still wants a hard copy, please keep in mind that the main body of text with the map folio and the response to comments table is about 1,122 pp/copy in Redline/strikeout format. It will take the LWG up to two days to generate hard copies. If DEQ or EPA also want the attachments in hard

copy, this adds an additional 2,575 pp/copy in RLSO, and will take LWG up to five days to prepare hard copies. Also, the main body of the report will be split up into five pieces, just as it was posted, each with a table of contents and bibliography and each starting on page 1.

Again, we'll discuss this with Exec on Wednesday and let you know. Thanks, Jim.

---

**From:** MCCLINCY Matt [mailto:MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2011 11:36 AM  
**To:** James McKenna  
**Cc:** PETERSON Jenn L; ANDERSON Jim M  
**Subject:** FW: Portland Harbor ERA

Hi Jim,

After discussing with Jennifer, we would like to request one hard copy of the revised ERA to facilitate DEQ's review. Jennifer notes that there are significant portions of the ERA that are new or revised including maps, tables and appendices that will be difficult to review electronically.

Please let me know if you are able to provide the review copy.

Also, as we discussed, Jim Anderson will get back to you next week regarding whether DEQ needs a second copy for our administrative record.

Thanks, Matt McClincy